REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3 and 5 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 as being indefinite for lacking antecedent

basis. Those claims have been amended to provide such.

Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breunsbach et al.

Applicants' invention provides a method for allowing electric sprayers to operate efficiently in

such a way that performance is slightly reduced while maintaining spraying in the event the

motor should reach an elevated temperature. While thermal relief cutoffs such as that disclosed

by Breunsbach are well known, such cutoffs merely turn off the unit when the trip point is

reached. Applicants invention as defined in claim 1 allows the unit to continue spraying but at a

reduced pressure level which will reduce the temperature of the unit. There is no suggestion in

Breunsbach or any of the other references of record to this pressure stepdown in response to

attainment of a predetermined temperature to allow continued spraying.

Claim 2 is respectfully submitted to be patentable for the reasons set forth with respect to claim 1

and additionally for the limitations set forth therein. Breunsbach does not disclose the time delay

claimed.

Similarly, claims 3 and 4 are respectfully submitted to be patentable for the reasons set forth with

respect to claims 1 and 2 and additionally for the limitations set forth therein. Breunsbach does

not disclose changing variable speed control to on-off control in response to the temperature

being reached.

-4-

Appl.No. 10/575,607

Amdt.dated March 16, 2009

Reply to Office action of October 14, 2008

Claims 5-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breunsbach et al.

in view of Baker. Baker only discloses a valve which reduces the output pressure in response to

fluid temperature. This will not be effective in reducing the temperature of a sprayer as claimed

as the sprayer will continue to call for the user selected pressure (heating up the motor) even if

the output pressure is restricted. Since the resulting apparatus would have no real use, one skilled

in the art would not think or have any reason to look to Baker to improve the device of

Breunsbach.

Similarly, claims 6 and 7 are respectfully submitted to be patentable for the reasons set forth with

respect to claim 5 and additionally for the limitations set forth therein.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the application as amended patentably distinguishes

over the rejection of record

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

/dbf/

Douglas B. Farrow

Registration No. 28582

Graco Inc.

PO Box 1441

Minneapolis, MN 55440

(612) 623-6769

pto@graco.com

-5-